

**REMARKS:**

In the non-final Office Action of August 17, 2009, claims 50-52 and 54-73 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite. Claims 50 and 51 were noted as having claim language with insufficient antecedent basis and including a limitation that is unclear and confusing. Claim 52 was noted as using a term having insufficient antecedent basis. Claims 54 and 66 were noted as being dependent on claim 50 instead of claim 51. Claim 59 was also rejected as having language lacking sufficient antecedent basis.

In response, all of these claims, as well as several others of the pending claims have been amended in an effort to more patentably point out and to distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. With respect to claims 50 and 51, the term "said material" now is believed to have proper antecedent basis in the preamble of the claims. The term "several of said units" has been cancelled in both of claims 50 and 51 and has been replaced by language that is believed to be clear and not confusing. With regard to the Examiner's question as to whether applicants are claiming several of the same units or several different units, the Examiner is requested to review Paragraph 0018 of the Substitute Specification. The units could be either several of the same unit, such as printing units and/or several different units such as a printing unit and a processing unit. The claim language is believed to cover both.

Claims 52 and 59 have been amended to provide proper antecedent basis for claim terminology. Claims 54 and 66 have been amended to depend from claim 51 instead of claim 50. Several other claims have been amended to provided uniformity of

terminology.

The Examiner's withdrawal of the prior rejections of the claim is noted with appreciation. The Examiner's indication of the allowability of the claims currently pending in the subject application, once the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph have been overcome, is also noted with appreciation. It is believed that the claims now pending do overcome these rejections and are thus allowable.

**SUMMARY:**

Several of the claims pending in the subject application have been amended to overcome rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. It is believed that the claims which are now pending comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Allowance of the claims, and passage of the application to issue is respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

Andreas Franz CZOTSCHER et al.  
Applicants

JONES, TULLAR & COOPER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Applicant

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Douglas R. Hanscom  
Reg. No. 26,600

August 19, 2009  
JONES, TULLAR & COOPER, P.C.  
Customer No. 23294  
P.O. Box 2266 Eads Station  
Arlington, Virginia 22202  
Phone: (703) 415-1500  
Fax: (703) 415-1508  
E-mail: mail@jonestullarcooper.com  
Attorney Docket: W1.2096 PCT-US